



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



### **RAHAT-UL-QULOOB**

Bi-Annual, Trilingual (Arabic, English, Urdu) ISSN: (P) 2025-5021. (E) 2521-2869  
Project of **RAHATULQULOOB RESEARCH ACADEMY**,  
Jamiat road, Khiljiabad, near Pak-Turk School, link Spini road, Quetta, Pakistan.  
Website: [www.rahatulquloob.com](http://www.rahatulquloob.com)

Approved by Higher Education Commission Pakistan

**Indexing:** » Australian Islamic Library, IRI (AIOU), Tahqeeqat, Asian Research Index, Crossref, Euro pub, MIAR, ISI, SIS.

#### **TOPIC:**

### **Methods of Verification in Hadith Sciences: Classical Principles and Contemporary Challenges**

#### **AUTHORS:**

1. Dr. Basheer Ahmed Dars, Assistant Professor, Department of Basic Science and Related Studies. Mehran University, SZAB Campus Khairpur Mir's, Pakistan.  
Email: [bashirdars@muethkhp.edu.pk](mailto:bashirdars@muethkhp.edu.pk)
2. Dr. Muhammad Mudasar Nawaz Mughal Assistant Professor, Department of Muslim History, University of Sindh Jamshoro, Sindh, Pakistan.
3. Dr. Hameedullah Bhutto Associate Professor, Department of Comparative Religion & Islamic Culture, Sindh University, Jamshoro, Pakistan.

**How to Cite:** Dr. Basheer Ahmed Dars, Dr. Muhammad Mudasar Nawaz Mughal, & Dr. Hameedullah Bhutto. (2025). ENGLISH Methods of Verification in Hadith Sciences: Classical Principles and Contemporary Challenges. *Rahat-Ul-Quloob*, 9(1), 01-20. Retrieved from <https://rahatulquloob.com/index.php/rahat/article/view/476>.

URL: <http://rahatulquloob.com/index.php/rahat/article/view/476>

Vol. 9, No.1 || January–June 2025 || P. 1-20

Published online: 30-06-2025

## Methods of Verification in Hadith Sciences: Classical Principles and Contemporary Challenges

<sup>1</sup> Basheer Ahmed Dars, <sup>2</sup>Muhammad Mudasir Nawaz Mughal, <sup>3</sup>Hameedullah Bhutto

### Abstract:

The paper discusses the classical instruments of Hadith authentication and brings to the fore the significant roles played by isnad (chain of transmission), ilm al-rijal (biographical evaluation of reporters) and matn (textual analysis). It discusses how these rigorous checks and balances evolved by the early Muslim academics that assure the soundness of prophetic traditions on which the Islamic law and theology are founded. The article also deals with the modern issues of digital misinformation, mass distribution of weak and fabricated reports, and the present-day scholarly criticism of the conventional methodology. Creative methods of incorporating digital database, artificial intelligence and interdisciplinary collaboration have been suggested to maintain the rigor of scholarly practice and also to be able to keep up with the contemporary informational landscape. This paper articulates the need to reform education and suggests the implementation of a global Hadith check board in order to maintain the authenticity and the academic integrity of Hadith in the digital era.

**Keywords:** Hadith, authentication, isnad, ilm al-rijal, matn analysis, digital age, fabricated Hadith, scholarly collaboration, artificial intelligence, curriculum reform, Hadith verification board.

### Introduction

#### 1.1 The beginnings of Hadith, and its role in Islamic scholarship.

Hadith science (ilm al-Hadith) is one of the most advanced sciences of Islam. The sayings, actions, and tacit approvals of the Prophet Muhammad (Peace be upon him) are a constituent part of Islamic law and ethics already known to Muslim scholars in the earliest centuries, as incomparable to the Quran in that order. The Hadith corpus is not just the supplement of the Quran but rather the practical and interpretive continuation thereof, and it is the practice of rituals, theology, and jurisprudence of centuries.

Because of its centrality, Muslim intellectual tradition devoted much effort to the strict authentication of transmitted reports. This led to the emergence of a distinct epistemological tradition: histories of transmitted texts (isnad), the study of the biographies of reporters (ilm al-rijal) and the critique of texts (dirayah) <sup>1, 2, 3</sup> This type of methodology made Hadith sciences unique compared to other historical or literary traditions in which oral reports frequently spread without any formalized systems of authentication.

The elevation of Hadith collections, and of those most notably the Sahihayn of Bukhari and Muslim marked the culmination of the critical activity. These compilations were

not merely collections but the result of painstaking criticism on the basis of well-defined principles. Therefore, the study of Hadiths became a part of the continuity of the normative bases of Islam and the preservation of the prophetic guidance over time.

### 1.2 Significance of verification means in maintenance of Shariah .

The authenticity of the sources of Islamic law (Shari'ah) is the basis of the integrity of this law. The jurisprudence (fiqh), theology ('aqidah), and ethical practice would not have good grounds without the sound transmission of the Hadiths, the jurisprudence (fiqh), theology (aqidah), and ethical practice would not be well-grounded. The words of the Prophet on ritual specifics including prayer, fasting, and zakat, but also on social norms more generally, could only be preserved through the systematic verification procedures, established by the early Muslim community.

Checking was two-fold, one checking (isnad) on the continuity and reliability of transmission; and the other checking (matn) on the soundness of the content. Scholars like Sufyān al-Thawrī 97 AH / 716 CE stressed that:

الإسناد سلاح المؤمن، فإذا لم يكن معه سلاح فبأي شيء يقاتل<sup>4</sup>

“The isnad is the weapon of the believer and that it is essential to have it to preserve the religion.”

Abdullah bin Mubarak said:

الإسناد عندي من الدين، لولا الإسناد لقال من شاء ما شاء<sup>5</sup>

“The chain of transmission is part of my religion. If it were not for the chain of transmission, anyone could say whatever he wanted.”

In the absence of isnad-based verification, the fabricated reports might find their way into the legal and theological corpus, and pervert the prophetic message.

This methodological rigor also allowed Muslim scholars to differentiate between grades of authenticity--sahih, hasan, da'if, mawdu'--to therefore provide a scale of reliability on a gradational scale.<sup>6</sup> The framework that was the product of such a result was not only the custodian of Hadith, but also the Shari'ah against innovation (bid'ah) and external distortions. To this end, it can be pointed out that verification had the epistemological and communal roles: verification was simultaneously a science of truth-claims and a process of maintaining religious identity.

### 1.3 Research problem: difficulties in the verification in the modern era.

Although classical sciences of Hadith were sophisticated, the modern world is posing challenges never before. The quick spread of information by the digital media has enabled the unregulated dissemination of poor or fake reports, usually lack of scholarly background. In contrast to premodern societies, when the dissemination of Hadith took place under the guidance and supervision of trained scholars, in the current globalized networks, the dissemination of unauthenticated content is possible at the touch of a button and can be conducted by a layperson.

In addition, the Orientalist scholarship raises doubts about the accuracy of the traditional approaches with a focus on the sociological or literary interpretations

rather than isnad-based authenticity. Although sometimes reductionist in nature, these critiques cause Muslim scholars to once again consider methodological frameworks during the era of contemporary epistemological concerns. Therefore, the key research question can be stated: what can be done to maintain the rigor of classical Hadith validation and transform it to meet the requirements of the contemporary environment without losing the scholarly integrity?

#### **1.4 Purposes and scope of the article.**

In this article, the author attempts to answer the continuity and the flexibility of Hadith verification as a methodology. Its objectives are fourfold:

1. To examine the classical requirements of Hadith authentication and especially isnad analysis, biographical analysis (ilm al-rijal), and textual analysis.
2. In order to track the historical development of approaches to verification, to emphasize the works of the main scientists and schools.
3. To evaluate the current issues, such as digital misinformation, contemporary scholarly criticism, and the drawbacks of the use of medieval models in new situations.
4. To suggest any adaptive strategies, it is crucial to combine digital technologies and interdisciplinary cooperation and be faithful to the epistemological consistency of the classical tradition.

The present research is descriptive and analytical in nature. It relies on the primary sources in Hadith sciences including al-Mizzī's *Tahdhīb al-Kamāl* and *Tahdhib al-Tahdhib* of Ibn Hajar along with the contemporary academic literature and research on Hadith transmission. The article is designed to help address the debate surrounding the field of classical methods and place them in modern contexts by providing effective suggestions to ensure the safety of Hadith authenticity in the digital era.

#### **1.5. Research methodology:**

The method of this article is a combination of a textual analysis of classical literature and the examination of contemporary literature. The classical sources are works of ilm al-rijal (e.g., the *Mizan al-I'tidal* of al-Dhahabi) and works on methodology (e.g. *al-Kifayah fi ilm al-Riwayah* of al-Khatib al-Baghdadi). These are the foundational sources of the classical epistemic structure of Hadith verification.

To discuss the current problems, the article involves peer-reviewed literature in Islamic studies and digital humanities and reflects on how modern tools, such as Hadith databases, artificial intelligence, as well as digital isnad mapping, can be used to supplement traditional approaches.

It is a more or less interdisciplinary and comparative methodological approach, as it admires the classical frameworks, but at the same time, evaluates the applicability of these approaches in contemporary situations critically. This two-faced lens is needed to be analyzed without being uncritically traditional or reductively modern, but so as to take a moderate academic stand.

## Classical Principles of Verification:

### 2.1 Isnad (chain of transmission):

The isnad system forms the backbone of Hadith sciences and is one of the main features that differentiate the Islam tradition among other historical texts. The isnad that is defined as the reporting lineage that relays a report to the Prophet, the arenas of which acted as not only a chronological instrument, but also as an epistemological protection of the integrity of the prophetic tradition<sup>7</sup> Its necessity was stressed by early Muslim scholars, by Ibn al-Atir (d. 606 / 1209) saying:

واعلم أن الإسناد في الحديث هو الأصل. وعليه الاعتماد. وبه تعرف صحة الحديث وسقمه<sup>8</sup>

“Know that the chain of transmission (isnad) in hadith is the foundation, and it is upon it that reliance is placed, and through it the soundness (*Sahīḥat*) or weakness (Dua‘f) of the hadith is known.”

The isnad is part of religion; were it not for isnad, whoever wished would say anything he wished,<sup>9</sup>

Isnad verification, as methodology, was based on:

وأما الصحيح فهو ما اتصل سنده بنقل العدل الضابط عن مثله إلى منتهاه من غير شذوذ ولا علة<sup>10</sup>

evaluating continuity (ittisal) and reliability (adalah and dabt). A report whose text is uninterrupted by renunciators was considered sahih, whereas any incompleteness or insufficiency of the continuity or reliability could reduce it to hasan, da'if or even mawdu<sup>11</sup>. An especial focus was given to the following: (an)anah (unclear transmission), irsal (the absence of a narrator), inqita (broken chain).

The isnad system also made comparison of verification possible: chains by them (tawatur) made authenticity stronger, whereas individual report (ahad) had to be subjected to more severe scrutiny. This approach to methodology, captured in textbooks such as Ibn al-Salahs Muqaddimah (d. 643/1245) defined explicit standards of authenticity.<sup>12</sup>

So, isnad criticism was not a technicality but a system, and its purpose was not only to safeguard the prophetic inheritance against forgery and falsification but also to supply jurists with a hierarchy of the reliability of evidence.

### 2.2 Rijal (narrator criticism)

The other component, and its complement, was the science of narrator criticism (ilm al-rijal), which involved strict biographical research on reporters. Historians carefully recorded the dates of birth and death of narrators, movement across geographical areas, teachers of scholars, and reliability recordings. This vast mass of work, such as that of al-Mizzi Tahdhib al-Kamal and that of Ibn Hajar Tahdhib al-Tahdhib, is a distinctive biographical project which can hardly be compared with other civilizations.

This vast mass of writing, such as the Tahdhib al-Kamal of al-Mizzi or the Tahdhib al-Tahdhib of Ibn Hajar, is a distinctive biographical project that can be found nowhere outside the civilisation.

The criteria of the evaluation of the narrators concerned two main notions: *adalah* (moral uprightness) and *dabt* (accuracy of memory or written transmission). Narrators lacking either of the two were criticized more or less (*jarh*), with mild weakness up to complete rejection. Narrators who were established as reliable (*ta'dil*) on the other hand boosted the reliability of a chain.

There were also subtle shades of this system: the same narrator could be evaluated differently by different critics and it was imperative to compare the judgments carefully. Indicatively, the *Mizan al-I'tidal* by al-Dhahabi frequently presents contradictory reviews in order to bring to the attention scholarly arguments. Moreover, external forces like sectarian affiliations (e.g. Shi'i or Khariji tendencies) were also taken into consideration by narrator criticism when these factors were felt to compromise reliability.

Most importantly, *ilm al-rijal* was not simply elucidative but also analytic, giving a technical lexicon of the levels of credibility: *thiqa*, *saduq*, *da*, *matruk*, etc. The fact that this information has such an extensive literature testifies to how far the Muslim scholars had gone in defending the transmission of Hadith. Through critical examination of the human transmitters they attempted to get the divine message as delivered through the Prophet {Silla Llahu Alayhi WaSallam} to remain uncorrupted by the fallibility of human beings.

### 2.3 Matn (textual analysis):

Whereas the science of *isnad* and *rijal* were concerned with the extrinsic meaning of Hadith reports, *matn* criticism addressed the inner meaning of the same. The scholars were aware that in spite of good chain, the textual anomalies could affect the authenticity of a narration. *Matn* criticism was, therefore, a second rank of verification.

**Textual analysis criteria were:**

**Tawfir to the Qur'ān and mutawatir Sunnah:** Reports that were inconsistent with the foundational texts were disapproved.

**Rational coherence:** Narrations that were considered irrational and impossible were considered anomalous (*shadhhdh*) or made up (*mawdu'*).

**Linguistic correctness:** Given that the Prophet was renowned to be eloquent, the use of incredibly inappropriate language was a source of suspicion.

**Historical plausibility:** Reports that went against the known chronology were questioned.

As an example, the need to subject reports to test against clear rationality, sensory perception, and historical reality was pointed out by Ibn al-Qayyim (d. 751/1350).<sup>13</sup> Equally, al-Khatib al-Baghdadi described ways of identifying interpolations and contradictions.<sup>14</sup>

Even though *matn* criticism was not as systematized as *isnad* evaluation, it was highly influential to ensure the withdrawal of fabricated material into the canonical collections. In fact, the separation of *sahih* and *mawdu* depended on *matn* based

analysis more than not. It has been emphasized in recent academic literature that matn criticism can be expanded upon as a field, particularly in the light of fake narrations that spread in electronic media.

Therefore, matn analysis shows that the Hadith verification was never a strictly formal undertaking in isnad, but a comprehensive effort of the balance between external and internal evidence.

#### **2.4 The principles based on fiqh and usul:**

Hadith authentication was not merely a technical undertaking, but also considered a major part of the study of jurisprudence (fiqh) and legal theory (usul al-fiqh). Jurists needed to have dependable prophetic reports that they could use to make legal judgments and this consequently meant that there had to be a way to evaluate the authority of Hadith in a clear manner.

The categories of the evidentiary strength were used by Usul scholars; Hadith established certainty (yaqin) and ahad reports generated probable knowledge (zann). This difference affected the possibility of a narration to support creedal beliefs or just the decisions of the law courts. Additionally, usul frameworks expounded on requirements of accepting single reports: the uprightness, accuracy and lack of contradiction of the narrator with stronger evidence.

Other questions were also discussed by the jurists like: What should be done with a single hadith that opposed qiyas (analogical reasoning)? Is it to be admitted that weak Hadith should be accepted in the affairs of virtue (fada'il al-a'mal), but not in the law? Such a best academic work, as in the work of al-Shafi' and al-Juwayni, the al-Risalah and al-Burhan fi Usul al-Fiqh, depict the advanced assimilation of the Hadith criticism into wider legal epistemology.

This interrelation allowed that Hadith was never verified in a vacuum, but at all times in the conversation with jurisprudential logic. This meant that the authenticity of a Hadith was not a purely historical matter but one that had direct normative consequences as regards to law, theology, and ethics.

#### **Development of Verification Methods:**

##### **3.1 Early Periods: - Companions and Tabiin:**

Hadith transmission started with the first level of companions (sahaba) who were first-hand witnesses of the words and deeds of the Prophet. Their closeness to revelation gave them certain special powers, but at this point, there were verification mechanisms that were present. Umar ibn al-Khattab had made it a requirement of him to have reports confirmed by communal protection, prior to the acceptance of a report<sup>15</sup>. Likewise, it is common to find that 'Aisha Bint Abi Bakr (RA) often reverted or contextualized reports sent by other Companions, which shows that there was some interest in the accuracy of the text early on.<sup>16</sup>

The following generation, the Tabi'in, also inherited the corpus of the prophecy, the methodological anxiety regarding the correctness. Local centers--Kufah, Basrah, and Madinah--had evolved different transmission practices. This was the time when the

isnad system became more formal, when narrators explicitly refer to their sources. Another activity of the Tabi'in was the early jarh wa-ta'dil, which is the division of credible and not credible narrators. In this way a proto-critical system was already in operation before the codification of the Hadith sciences, and before the systematic elaboration which followed.

### **3.2 Bookmaking of Hadiths:**

The mass of reports then in circulation by the second and third centuries of the Islamic era needed to be put on record. The early texts such as al-Muwatta (d. 179/795) by Malik ibn Anas incorporated a combination of legal logic, companion perspective and prophetic Hadith. Al-Muwatta was a transitional period that mediated between the period of oral tradition and written tradition but it is not strictly canonical.

The third century saw the rise of the full-scale collections of Hadith: Musnad (compiled by Companion reporters), Sunan, and finally, the Sahih. Such works not only accumulated reports but also expressed different orientations to methods. An example is that the Musnad of Ahmad ibn Hanbal placed greater emphasis on inclusivity whereas al-Bukhari and Muslim emphasized on strict authenticity standards.

Cross-regional verification was also brought about by compilation, with scholars traveling widely in order to gather narrations. This mobility enabled the comparative isnad study where different transmission paths were checked to see whether they are corroborated. Therefore, both preservation and critical selection were institutionalized during the compilation era in such a way that the collections of the Hadith not only reflected the memory but also systematic checking.

### **3.3 great Muhaddithin contributions:**

The golden age of Hadith sciences was led by the outstanding muhaddithun whose writings were the reference points. One of them, al-Bukhari (d. 256/870), transformed the criticism of the Hadith by laying down strict requirements that must be met to appear in his Sahih. His standards such as continuity of isnad, moral uprightness and accuracy of reporters established a golden rule on authenticity. Muslim ibn al-Hajjaj (d. 261/875) was a little more lenient and upheld these standards and restored corroboration along lines of transmission.

These were later elaborated by later scholars. Systematization Biographical evaluation was systematized by Ibn Hibban (d. 354/965) in his Kitab al-Thiqat and was critically assessed in the Sahihayn by al-Daraqutni (d. 385/995), which demonstrates the self-corrective dynamism of the tradition at work. Al-Dhahabi and Ibn Hajar al-'Asqalani were also active in perfecting 'ilm al-rijal, and they created monumental indispensable reference works in the form of Mizan al-I'tidal and Tahdhib al-Tahdhib.

These scholars did not only compile, but codified terminologies (e.g. sahih, hasan, da'if), formulated principles according to which anomalies were to be evaluated (e.g. ilal) and maintained an evaluative discourse that struck a balance between reverence to transmission and critical rigor. All their combined efforts made the science of

Hadith not passive but actively responsive to emerging difficulties and, in this way, incorporated verification into the intellectual DNA of Islamic scholarship.

### 3.4 Role of Schools of Thought:

The history of the development of Hadith measurement was also influenced by the legal and theological schools in general. The Ahl al-Hadith focused on a rigorous adherence to authenticated reports and also gave importance to Hadith as opposed to analogical reasoning. The Ahl al-Ra'y, however, especially in Kufah, were more careful in their acceptance, introducing juristic reasoning in situations of doubtful transmission.

Hadith verification was later reconciled with jurisprudential method in later Sunni schools of law (Hanafi, Maliki, Shafi'i and Hanbali). As an example, the Shafi'i school emphasized the authority of solitary reports as to when circumstances of reliability were satisfied, whereas the Hanafis were more rigorous, sometimes even subjecting solitary Hadith to conventional jurisprudence.

As Mentioned in the research of Abdulmalik. (2025).

*“The interdependence of Hadith and Usul al-Fiqh became more pronounced with the emergence of the classical legal schools (madhāhib), each of which developed its own criteria for Hadith admissibility within legal reasoning. The Hanafi school, for instance, showed a preference for rational principles such as analogy when a solitary hadith conflicted with established practice, whereas the Shāfi'ī school emphasized the authority of sound hadith over customary practice.”<sup>17</sup>*

This diversity did not discredit the sciences of Hadith but only served to enrich their use and prove that they were not a monolithic project but one attentive to the juristic and theological contexts.

### 3.5 Later Scholarly Debates:

The classical scholarship after the classical period was characterized by further refinement and discussion. Others such as Ibn al-Salah organized the terminology of Hadith in his Muqaddimah, and later men such as al-Suyuti worked out comprehensive syntheses.

The Sahihayn remained dominant, but the criticism of al-Daraqutni and Ibn Hajar demonstrates the spirit of criticism that remained throughout.

Contemporarily scholars have been arguing whether classical methods are sufficient to address new epistemological challenges. Whereas Orientalists doubted the reliability of the hadiths, Muslim reformers focused on matn criticism or combination of historical approach<sup>18</sup>.

So, the development of Hadith verification is not a fixed collection of canons, but an ongoing intellectual conference, in which tradition and criticism are balanced.

### Contemporary Challenges:

#### 4.1 Modern Technology and Forged Hadith:

Modern digital world has radically changed how Hadith is transmitted. WhatsApp, Facebook, and Twitter are in a way the new ora platforms, but lacking the intellectual repositories that were a part of the Hadith culture in the past. False and counterfeit reports are produced and spread faster than ever, frequently without arenad and without scholarly criticism. As an example, such a report

اطلبوا العلم ولو بالصين

has been considered weak by experts such as Ibn Hibban, <sup>19</sup> but is nevertheless common in sermons, posters, and online materials .

The problem is complicated by mobile applications and online databases as well. Although verified collections are available through resources like Sunnah.com and al-Maktabah al-Shamilah, a great number of unauthenticated collections in the form of Hadith-only apps also exist, providing only references with no context, grading, or authenticity verification. This issue is not just access and the lack of a critical approach: it is not easy to realise a lay reader of a piece of writing that is not evaluated to a higher grade that it is a scholarly one.

Therefore, contemporary technology is empowerment and a threat. It democratizes the tradition of the prophecy, and at the same time, it disempowers the epistemic protections developed throughout the centuries. The digital circulation is of immense scale and can never be corrected on the traditional scholarly level, causing a crisis of authority and credibility in the transmission of Hadith.

#### 4.2 Attitudes and Emotional Acceptance of the Publics:

The other significant difficulty is popular attitudes towards Hadith. Narrations are treated with an affective orientation by many modern-day Muslims, where inspirational or spiritually significant content is given precedence over its academic justification Within such an environment, emotive worth of a narration tends to have greater importance than issues of isnad or matn integrity.

Classical scholars of the Hadith only permitted the use of weak reports at a cautious rate in fada'il al-a'mal (virtues of deeds), though it was not permitted to conflict with the authentic texts.

Nawawi said in his book Azkar:

قال العلماء من المحدثين والفقهاء وغيرهم: يجوز، ويستحب العمل في الفضائل والترغيب والترهيب بالحديث الضعيف، ما لم يكن موضوعاً.

“The scholars of hadith, jurists and others said: It is permissible and desirable to act in matters of virtues, encouragement and warning based on weak hadith, as long as it is not fabricated.”<sup>20</sup>

But this has been applied out of context in the modern times where fake news is spread without restraint in the belief that there is nothing wrong with promoting the good. This has been enhanced by social media which has created a share-for-blessing culture where authenticity yields to emotional value.

Remedial action on the part of scholarly practitioners is often opposed when these actions are seen to equate critique of an easy-going narration with critique of spirituality. This distance has led to increasing divergence between Hadith study and the popular religiosity. To fill this gap, it is necessary to undertake fresh educational efforts, which are able to transfer the reasoning of Hadith scrutiny and present it in a medium comprehensible to wider audiences.

#### **4.3 University Academic Problems:**

Hadith studies in contemporary universities themselves have their own epistemological challenges. Many Muslim-dominated countries have elementary education systems that focus on rote memorization of Hadith collections without teaching isnad criticism, evaluation of the narrator, and analysis of the matn. Such an imbalance in pedagogy results in graduates with knowledge on Hadith content, but without sufficient preparation in authentication.

In Western scholarly contexts, on the other hand, Hadith has frequently been treated by means of historical-critical approaches that cast innovative doubt on the dependability of isnad as a whole. In this case, Hadith is seen not so much as a document of the teaching of the prophet but rather as the document of early Muslim communal discussions. These methods are inspired by Goldziher and Schacht and devalue classical epistemologies in favor of sociological and literary interpretation.

What has emerged is hypocritical, and that is, old scholars understand how to analyze the isnad, and yet they are disconnected with the academic criticism, and secular scholars are able to study critical theory, and yet, they do not have a foundation of classical sciences of Hadith. The only way to fill this gap is through integrated curricula, which are programs that include the knowledge of the classical sciences alongside knowledge of modern critical debates and digital methodologies.

#### **4.4 Orientalist and Modern Critiques:**

Nineteenth-century and twentieth-century orientalist scholarship was a challenge to the Hadith sciences. Ignaz Goldziher held that the numerous narrations were retrojections of debates of the second and third century into the mouth of the Prophet<sup>21</sup>. This thesis was developed by Joseph Schacht who opined that the chains of isnad had been reprojected to justify later legal developments on many occasions<sup>22</sup>. These objections had a radical effect on Western scholastic scepticism of the reliability of the Hadith.

The Muslims answered by reinstating the advancement of classical methodologies. However, more subtle research has now appeared. Harald Motzki was the first to apply isnad-cum-matn analysis, demonstrating that some reports that can be traced using more than one path must originate in the first century<sup>23</sup>. These discoveries undermined the previous Orientalist consensus and proved that classical methods still can be of great historical importance.

To Muslim scholarship, these criticisms are critique and chance: a challenge, in the sense that they have provoked a destabilizing of traditional convictions of sufficiency

concerning isnad alone, but also an opportunity, in the sense that they have prompted a more methodological approach and an international scholarly discourse.

#### **4.5 Real Life Challenge of using Classical Rules:**

The practice of classical Hadith principles in the contemporary society faces serious pragmatic challenges even with its acceptance. Classical verification existed in scholarly chains of ijazah: ijazah chains of ijazah, personal ijazah chains, face-to-face ijazah, and consensus ijazah. Now, however, disaggregated religious authority as well as democratisation of the production of knowledge compromises such structures<sup>24</sup>

In addition, several classical methodologies, including checking the biographical information of the narrators or analyzing the variants of the manuscripts, are now unfeasible, considering the amount of information that is floating on the internet. Even though digital means and AI-based databases might help to facilitate the verification, they are not as subtle as classical scholar-critics who have been trained to balance isnad reliability with matn coherence.

The modern problem therefore is not irrelevance of classical rules, but they cannot be applicable in their context. The challenge now is to re-calibrate those principles to a globalized and digital world and maintain the intellectual rigor that defined Hadith sciences over the centuries.

### **5.Principles to be adapted to Modern Times:**

#### **5.1 Role of Digital Databases:**

Hadith studies have been transformed by digital technology that available access has never been seen before to classical sources. Predominantly Sunnah.com<sup>25</sup>, Al-Maktabah al-Shamilah<sup>26</sup> and Dar al-Mandumah<sup>27</sup> have projects that search through massive collections of Hadith in just a few seconds. Whereas classical scholars spent months of their lives travelling to establish isnad connections, nowadays researchers at a touch of a button can compare various routes of transmission. This change is not an insider to the classical rigor but provides resources to its extension to the contemporary conditions.

The importance of these databases is that they have the ability to obtain tawatur al-ma'rifi (informational mass corroboration). As an example, through a cross-reference of the narrations of Bukhari, Muslim, Abu Dawud, and al-Tirmidhi, a researcher can easily detect the textual differences and isnad differences. Similarly, biographical dictionaries like Tahdhib al-Kamal have gone online, which enables quicker determination of reliability evaluation of narrators.

However, there are still concerns. This is not always accompanied by academic curation of the material that is made available due to digitization. Mistakes in optical character recognition, no reference to the critical edition, and no contextual commentaries can be misleading to non-experts.

Thus, it can be stated that digital databases must not be regarded as alternatives but must be regarded as tools - extending the scope of the classical sciences of Hadith and yet demanding the further management by qualified scholars.

## 5.2 Modern Sciences and AI are being integrated:

Modern sciences (especially artificial intelligence) have created new opportunities in the field of Hadith verification. AI-assisted applications are capable of charting isnad meshes, as well as enclosing clusters of narrators and even of observing anomalies in the textual transmission.

According to Abdulrehman (2024)

**“Data Mining and Text Analysis:** Analyze large volumes of Islamic texts to discover patterns, similarities, and styles. This aids in verifying authenticity or confirming transmission chains in Hadith and Fiqh studies.

**Artificial Intelligence:** AI analyzes transmission chains, recognizes linguistic patterns, and detects errors in texts. It enhances Hadith classification based on machine learning algorithms.”<sup>28</sup>

Initial experiments in isnad visualization show how network theory can emphasize key transmitters and possible fabrication sites empirically underpinning classical understanding.

Further, semantic analysis of Hadith texts can be performed with the use of computational linguistics. Discovering stylistic deviations, scholars can mark out their potential interpolations, or non-prophetic linguistic structures. These methods resemble classical matn criticism with the more fine-tuning approach of algorithmic models.

Nonetheless, one should be careful. Algorithms do not have the epistemological sensibility of classical thinkers and their attention to the reliability of arenad and theological/rational factors. The danger of excessively relying on AI is a threat to the degradation of Hadith sciences to the sphere of data processing, without references to their normative and spiritual aspects [(53)]. Therefore, integration must be complementary: AI as an instrument in the more comprehensive hermeneutical and epistemic frameworks set up by the muhaddithun.

## 5.3 Traditional and Contemporary Scholars:

One of the most significant measures towards modifying the method of Hadith verification is to create cooperation between traditional trained scholars and contemporary scholars. Classical scholars include the expertise of isnad analysis, ilm al-rijal, and jurisprudential structures, whereas modern scholars include the expertise of historiography, philology, and digital humanities<sup>29</sup>. A combination of these skills can create a more complete approach

Indicatively, an analysis called isnad-cum-matn, developed by Harald Motzki demonstrates the enrichment of classical isnad techniques with modern historical-critical methods<sup>30</sup>. Likewise, manuscripts studies can be enhanced by paleographic skills and codicological aids, frequently trained in the West, although they need the advice of muhaddithun to be effectively authenticated.

The epistemic gap can be overcome through joint conferences, joint research, and

joint databases. These collaborations lead to not only an increase in scholarly rigor, but also a paradigm of intellectual humility, an expression of the success of the Hadith sciences as fostered by an array of different, yet supplementary, traditions.

#### **5.4 Reform in Curriculum:**

Curricular reform in traditional seminaries (madaris) and Universities is urgent because of the challenges of modernity. Memorization rather than methodological criticism is stressed in many madaris, and historical skepticism often takes the place of normative engagement in universities. Both should be incorporated in a reformed curriculum and produce scholars who are proficient in isnad sciences and who are well acquainted with the current scholarly discussions.

The important aspects of reform are:

- Critical work on classical works including Ibn al-Salah Muqaddimah, al-Khatib al-Baghdadi al-Kifayah and Nuzhat al-Nazar by Ibn Hajar.
- Introduction to modern scholarship, such as in Orientalist critiques, revision by Motzki and Brown and digital humanities methodologies.
- Practical training of the digital Hadith authentication tools.

This kind of integration would enable students to move between pious truth and scholarly skepticism to come up with a generation of academic-level scholars who can both defend the integrity of Hadith and embrace the scholarship of the world.

#### **5.5. Age of World Committee: Proposal of a Global Verification Board**

Lastly, a global Hadith verification board can be formed to bring a centralized power in the digital era. A body like this, which is formed by the professionally trained scholars, academics and computer experts, would be a source of validation when it comes to verifying the authenticity of reports that are posted in the internet and social spheres.

Its activities might include: maintaining an authoritative database of Hadith all over the world, dealing in periodical clarifications in respect of the popular but weak narrations, and advising the educational institutions on the curriculum structure. Such precedents have been established in such authorities as the Majma' al-Fiqh al-Islami, which sets forth guidance to jurisprudence the world over; such a pattern could be implemented to Hadith.

Although representation issues, as well as authority ones, still persist, such a board might still manage to curb the effects of fragmentation by bringing different scholarly traditions together through a common cause of maintaining the authenticity of Hadith in the digital age.

#### **Academic Discussions and Analysis:**

##### **6.1 The Historical and Contemporary Disagreements:**

In the history of Islam, there have been internal arguments within the sciences of Hadith in regard to methodology, scope and application. Classical scholars did not agree, for example, whether solitary reports (khabar al-ahad) were admissible in the

establishment of matters of creed. The Hanafi school were more circumspect, whilst Shafi'is in general admitted credible solitary narrations<sup>31</sup>. Likewise there were controversies over the allowance to use weak Hadith in fada'il al-a'mal, some permitting conditional usage and others requiring complete exclusion<sup>32</sup>.

These disagreements are now increased in the modern times. The reformist academia believes that more weight should be given to the matn criticism, and the traditionalists claim that the isnad analysis is the surest guarantee. These controversies underscore the ongoingness of an intellectual tradition which has never been static and the need to strike a balance between the preservation of the prophetic tradition and a changing scholarly interest.

### **6.2 Western Academic Research:**

It has been both critical and transformative Western academic work on Hadith. The thesis that Hadith differs considerably as a reflection of post-prophetic developments was first developed by Ignaz Goldziher in *Muhammedanische Studien*<sup>33</sup>. This argument was developed by Joseph Schacht, who said that the chains of isnad were projected backwards in order to justify juristic opinions<sup>34</sup>. These assertions had far reaching consequences on the Orientalist discourse as they undermined the historical validity of a large portion of the Hadith corpus.

These conclusions were however nuanced later on. Analysis of isnad-cum-matn and manuscript research by Harald Motzki and Gregor Schoeler proved the possibility that certain lineages of Hadith may indeed date back to the first Islamic century<sup>35</sup>. This change is an expression of abandonment of categorical skepticism in favor of methodological pluralism. However, the Western academy frequently approaches Hadith more as a historical object than as a normative source, which generates a clash with more conservative views of Muslims.

### **6.3 Perception of Muslim Scholars of our time:**

Modern Muslim thinkers have addressed these issues in different forms. Traditionalists like 'Abd al-Fattah Abu Ghuddah stressed the loyalty to classical methodologies and insisted that isnad sciences must be unsurpassed in rigor<sup>36</sup>, and so on. Others, such as Yusuf al-Qaradawi, have called more attention to matn analysis, where the narrations have to be tested against Qur'anic principles and modern rationality<sup>37</sup>.

Meanwhile the contemporary academics operating in Muslim environments, including Fazlur Rahman, demanded a contextualist approach, where the Hadith are understood as expressions of normative ideals conditioned by historical contingencies<sup>38</sup>. This position has elicited strong criticism in the traditional circles, but has given rise to continuing discussions regarding the purpose of Hadith in reformist initiatives.

Modern reactions also entail institutional activities. International policy There are other bodies such as the International Islamic Fiqh Academy, which have used Hadith verification in world policy, especially in biomedical ethics and finance. These developments demonstrate that Muslim scholarship is still struggling with the two-

fold challenge of preserving authenticity and responding to emerging intellectual and social realities.

#### **6.4 The balancing of Riwayah and Dirayah:**

One of the main topics of academic discussion is the connection between riwayat (transmission) and dirayah (critical understanding). Classical Hadith sciences placed more importance on the establishment of reliability in riwayat: the reliability of isnad was more important than subjective reasoning. However, even the main critics like Ibn al-Qayyim admitted that rational scrutiny should be used to identify anomalies<sup>39</sup>.

In the modern world, there are certain researchers who support the idea of the recalibration. They contend that excessive dependence on riwayat has the danger of isolating Hadith sciences to external intellectual influences, and the fact that inadequate attention has been paid to dirayah has enabled weak or forged material to flow freely. Some people warn that the practice of giving the dirayah precedence over the strict assessment of isnad can lead to the fading of centuries of methodological agreement.

The difficulty, thus, is in balance: to acknowledge the epistemic strength of the affirmation of the isnad and to enable the highly critical work of matn. This synthesis is one that does not merely conform to the tradition but a responsiveness to the realities of the modern world so that the Hadith sciences are a living, dynamic science.

#### **Practical Implications:**

##### **7.1 Requirement of Public Knowledge:**

The need to increase the level of literacy among the masses on Hadith sciences is one of the most immediate implications of the modern Hadith challenges. Digital democratization has broken the traditional model of scholars mediating religious knowledge, as laypersons are now able to access and transmit narrations with no scholar involvement therein<sup>40</sup>. As a result, hoaxed or false reports are liable to spread like wildfire with theological turmoil and undermining confidence of real sources.

In order to counter this, the logic of verification should be taught in public education. Simple and straightforward categories such as sahih, hasan and da'if types of Hadith and the reasoning behind scholarly prudence can be familiarized to Muslims with introductory curricula, workshops and available media content. This is not so that it turns every believer into a muhaddith but rather to foster the consciousness that not every report has equal strength. The communal practice is thereby the protective filter of the intellectual safeguards evolved by the classical tradition<sup>41</sup> in the eyes of the people.

##### **7.2 Social Media Policy-Making Policy:**

Considering the proliferation of social media, there should be policy frameworks, which will control the spread of Hadith online. Similar policies can be put into place as with medical misinformation where states and institutions have put measures against such content. The platforms may collaborate with established academic institutions to label poor or fake stories, and direct users to authoritative sources.

Such efforts have been undertaken by a number of organizations. As an example, the King Fahd Complex in Saudi Arabia has scanned the Sahihayn, including with certified commentary on it, and non-government websites have opened fact-checking services offering to check Hadith being circulated on digital platforms. These are however fragmented and not fully exploited. An integrated national and transnational policy framework would have the capability to standardize verification procedures, in particular Muslim-majority countries where the authority of the Hadith determines directly the religious life.

These actions are not accompanied by censorship but rather informed control: by encouraging the availability of good sounding narrations, one should prevent the spread of fake content on the Internet using a virus method.

### **7.3 Reform in Curriculum in Institution:**

Schools both the old fashioned madaris and the new fashioned universities must undergo curricular changes to satisfy modern verification issues. Madrasas of today tend to emphasize memorization and devotional delivery, and at times universities tend to place the sciences of Hadith in the background of historical-critical perspectives. There should be a moderated curriculum that merges the classical rigor and modern critical instruments.

This reform implies three dimensions: (1) deepening knowledge of classical Hadith methodology through the special reading of manuals such as the Muqaddimah of Ibn al-Salah and the al-Kifayah of al-Khatib al-Baghdadi; (2) the interdisciplinary skills, i.e., the study of manuscripts, the utilization of digital databases, and the historical linguistics; and (3) the intellectual humility, i.e., making the students learn how to move between the devotional and the academic point of view.

Such institutional change will result in graduates who do not become blind traditionalists or reductionist critics, but who are able to defend the integrity of Hadith but also turn to world academic discussion. Such balance also guarantees the relevance and continuity of the Hadith sciences in the contemporary times.

### **7.4 Unity of Ummah and Community Preservation:**

Hadith is not only a matter of intellectual pursuits but also a congregational duty. Traditionally, there was a spirit of collaboration among Muslim scholars in the regions in the authentication of the narration, which helped in defying the sectarian boundaries. This collective ethos needs to be revived in the modern fragmented environment.

An integrated strategy might be in the form of international scholarly councils that would check the authenticity of Hadith, give general advice, and organize digital materials. These would curtail fragmentation due to the isolated or sectarian initiatives. Moreover, the preservation of the Ummah is enhanced by the collective protection of the Ummah: the protection of the Hadith will protect the Sunnah, which is the source of the unity of Muslims by geographical and ideological borders.

This way, the practical implication is evident, the rejuvenation of collective will is

needed to protect Hadith sciences. Ummah needs to recover its customary tradition of joint scholarship which must be adjusted to the contemporary age of globalization and digitalization without losing the soundness of the prophetic legacy.

**Conclusion:**

This paper has followed the history of the Hadith verification and has addressed classical concepts like isnad (chain of transmission), ilm al-rijal (critic of the reporters) and matn (textual analysis) concepts which guaranteed authenticity and barred fabrications. It also discussed the problem of contemporary technology and social media, which results in the extensive spread of unauthenticated Hadith, and it is necessary to change the traditional modes. The paper suggested the adoption of digital tools, building the collaboration between the traditional scholars and the modern scholars, and the formation of a global verification board that could guard the authenticity of Hadith in the digital world.

**Significance of Scholarly Integrity:** Scholarly integrity is an important aspect in the Hadith sciences because it is a moral and spiritual obligation of the scholars to protect the Prophetic legacy. The emergence of fabricated Hadith unchecked demonstrates the necessity to reaffirm the strict checks of its verification. The Hadith and Islamic practice would not have credibility without this. The intellectual rigor and moral responsibility in the sciences of Hadith means that the prophetic tradition will continue to be a factful guide.

**Recommendations on Future Research:** Future studies are advised to devise new techniques that can integrate classical sciences of Hadith with modern technology like artificial intelligence and machine learning to automate authentication mechanisms in an efficient manner. Hadith Studies The interdisciplinary study involving the integration of Hadith with historical linguistics, digital humanities, and information sciences may provide fresh perspectives. The interventions between the classical and the modern technologies will be achieved through collaboration between academics who know the new ways and those who know the old ways. Also, exploring the application of Hadith in the modern Muslim communities will facilitate the development of successful models of preservation and use of the same.

**Concluding Remarks:** to guarantee the knowledge and practice in Islam, the integrity of Hadith transmission is critical. This ancient tradition will be preserved by adapting the classical methods of verification to the new realities with the help of digital means, international collaboration, and changes to the educational system. Through academic honesty and innovation, one can guarantee the future relevance and authenticity of Hadith in the digital age by the Muslim community.

---

**References:**

- <sup>1</sup> Usul al-Hadith wa Ahkamuhu fi Ilm al-Dirayah, Ja'far al-Sijani, Dar Jawad al-A'immah, al-Taba'ah al-Ula 2012, s/18.
- <sup>2</sup> Bulūgh al-Amāl min Mustalah al-Ḥadīth wa-al-Rijāl, Muḥammad Maḥmūd Bakkār. Dār al-Salām, al-Ṭab‘ah al-Ūlā, 2012, p. 20.
- <sup>3</sup> Al-Muyassar fi ‘Ilm Mustalah al-Ḥadīth, Sayyid ‘Abd al-Mājid al-Ghūrī. Ma‘had Dirāsāt al-Ḥadīth al-Sharīf bi-Selāngūr – Māliziya, al-Ṭab‘ah al-Thānīyah, 2019, pp. 26–30.
- <sup>4</sup> Sīr A‘lām al-Nubalā’, al-Dhahabī, Shams al-Dīn Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn ‘Uthmān al-Dhahabī. Mu‘assasat al-Risālah, 1422 H / 2001 M, vol. 7, p. 273-274
- <sup>5</sup> Muslim - Muslim bin al-Hajjaj al-Qushayri al-Naysaburi, Sahih Muslim, Publisher Dar Ihya al-Kutub al-Arabiya V.1 P.16
- <sup>6</sup> Jonathan A. C. Brown, Hadith: Muhammad’s Legacy in the Medieval and Modern World (Oxford: Oneworld, 2009), 65–87.
- <sup>7</sup> Jonathan A. C. Brown, Hadith: Muhammad’s Legacy in the Medieval and Modern World (Oxford: Oneworld, 2009), 34–40.
- <sup>8</sup> Majd al-Din Abu al-Sa'adat al-Mubarak ibn Muhammad ibn Muhammad ibn Muhammad ibn Abd al-Karim al-Shaybani al-Jazari Ibn al-Atir, **Jami' al-Usul fi Ahadith al-Rasul**, tahqiq by Abd al-Qadir al-Arna'ut and completion by Bashir ‘Uyoun (Cairo: Maktabat al-Halwani, Matba’at al-Mallah, Maktabat Dar al-Bayan, 1389-1392 AH / 1969-1972 CE; Dar al-Fikr, 1391 AH / 1971 CE), Vol.1 P. 109.
- <sup>9</sup> al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī, al-Kifāyah fi ‘Ilm al-Riwāyah, ed. Aḥmad ‘Umar Hāshim (Riyadh: Maktabat al-Ma‘ārif, 1988), 97.
- <sup>10</sup> Tahhan, Mahmood al. 2004. Taysir Mustalah al-Hadith. Dar al-Ma'arif lil-Nashr wa al-Tawzi'. 10th ed. 1425 H. Page 44.
- <sup>11</sup> Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ, ‘Ulūm al-Ḥadīth (Muqaddimat Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ), ed. Nūr al-Dīn ‘Itr (Damascus: Dār al-Fikr, 1986), 45–53.
- <sup>12</sup> Ibn al-Ṣalāḥ, Muqaddimah, 51–58.
- <sup>13</sup> Ibn al-Qayyim al-Jawziyyah, al-Manār al-Munīf fi al-Ṣaḥīḥ wa-al-Ḍa‘īf, ed. Abū Ghuddah (Aleppo: Maktab al-Maṭbū‘āt al-Islāmiyyah, 1961), 43–46.
- <sup>14</sup> Al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī, al-Kifāyah, 115–120.
- <sup>15</sup> ‘Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Maḥdī, cited in Ibn Rajab al-Ḥanbalī, Sharḥ ‘Ilal al-Tirmidhī, ed. Nūr al-Dīn ‘Itr (Damascus: Dār al-Ma‘ārif, 1978), 45.
- <sup>16</sup> Al-Zarkashī, al-Ijābah li-Ḍirā‘at mā Istadrakathu ‘Ā’ishah ‘alā al-Ṣaḥābah, ed. Sa‘īd al-Afghānī (Cairo: Dār al-Kutub al-Ḥadīthah, 1356/1937), 22–27.
- <sup>17</sup> M.I. Shafī‘i, Al-Risala: Treatise on the Foundations of Islamic Jurisprudence, Cambridge, Islamic Texts Society, 1987, p. 112 as cited in the Sani, Abdulmalik. (2025). The Use of Hadith in Islamic Legal Theory (Usul al-Fiqh): A Historical and Jurisprudential Analysis. Global Journal of Research in Humanities & Cultural Studies, 5(3), 52–58. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15582708
- <sup>18</sup> Jonathan A. C. Brown, Hadith: Muhammad’s Legacy in the Medieval and Modern World (Oxford: Oneworld, 2009), 135–150.
- <sup>19</sup> Ibn Ḥibbān, al-Majrūḥīn min al-Muḥaddithīn wa-al-Ḍu‘afā’ wa-al-Matrūkīn, ed. Maḥmūd Ibrāhīm Zāyid (Aleppo: Dār al-Wa‘y, 1976), 1:296.

- <sup>20</sup> Abu Zakariya Yahya ibn Sharaf al-Nawawi, Al-Adhkar, edited by Bassam Abd al-Wahhab al-Jabi (Publisher: al-Jafan wa al-Jabi lil-Tiba'ah wa al-Nashr - Dar Ibn Hazm, first edition, 1425 AH / 2004 CE), P. 36.
- <sup>21</sup> Ignaz Goldziher, *Muhammedanische Studien* (Halle: Niemeyer, 1889), 2:1–50.
- <sup>22</sup> Joseph Schacht, *The Origins of Muhammadan Jurisprudence* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1950), 149–160.
- <sup>23</sup> Harald Motzki, “The Musannaf of ‘Abd al-Razzāq al-Ṣan‘ānī as a Source of Authentic Aḥādīth of the First Century A.H.,” *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 50, no. 1 (1991): 1–21.
- <sup>24</sup> Jonathan A. C. Brown, *Misquoting Muhammad: The Challenge and Choices of Interpreting the Prophet’s Legacy* (Oxford: Oneworld, 2014), 193–197.
- <sup>25</sup> Official website: <https://sunnah.com>
- <sup>26</sup> Official website: <https://shamela.ws>
- <sup>27</sup> Official website: <https://www.mandumah.com>
- <sup>28</sup> Abdulrahman, Mohammed Adnan. “The Future of Hadith Studies in the Digital Age: Opportunities and Challenges.” *Journal of Ecohumanism* 3, no. 8 (November 2024): 2792–800. <https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v3i8.4927>.
- <sup>29</sup> Wael B. Hallaq, *A History of Islamic Legal Theories* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997), 112–115
- <sup>30</sup> Harald Motzki, “Isnād and Matn Analysis: A Reassessment,” in *Method and Theory in the Study of Islamic Origins*, ed. Herbert Berg (Leiden: Brill, 2003), 211–218.
- <sup>31</sup> Wael B. Hallaq, *The Origins and Evolution of Islamic Law* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005), 133–138.
- <sup>32</sup> Al-Nawawī, al-Adhkār, ed. ‘Abd al-Qādir al-Arna’ūt (Damascus: Dār Ibn Kathīr, 1990), 7; Ibn Ḥajar al-‘Asqalānī, *Nuzhat al-Nazar fī Tawdīḥ Nukhbat al-Fikar*, ed. Nūr al-Dīn ‘Itr (Beirut: Dār al-Bashā’ir al-Islāmiyyah, 1998), 65–70.
- <sup>33</sup> Ignaz Goldziher, *Muhammedanische Studien* (Halle: Niemeyer, 1889), 2:1–50.
- <sup>34</sup> Joseph Schacht, *The Origins of Muhammadan Jurisprudence* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1950), 149–160.
- <sup>35</sup> Harald Motzki, “The Musannaf of ‘Abd al-Razzāq al-Ṣan‘ānī as a Source of Authentic Aḥādīth of the First Century A.H.,” *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 50, no. 1 (1991): 1–21; Gregor Schoeler, *The Oral and the Written in Early Islam*, trans. Uwe Vagelpohl (London: Routledge, 2006), 93–102.
- <sup>36</sup> ‘Abd al-Fattāḥ Abū Ghuddah, *al-Muḥaddith al-Fāṣil bayna al-Rāwī wa-al-Wā’ī*, ed. Bashshār ‘Awwād Ma’rūf (Aleppo: Maktab al-Maṭbū‘āt al-Islāmiyyah, 1971), 55–61.
- <sup>37</sup> Yūsuf al-Qaraḍāwī, *Kayfa Nata‘amal ma‘a al-Sunnah al-Nabawiyyah* (Cairo: Dār al-Shurūq, 1990), 75–82.
- <sup>38</sup> Fazlur Rahman, *Islam* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1979), 42–47.
- <sup>39</sup> Ibn al-Qayyim al-Jawziyyah, *al-Manār al-Munīf fī al-Ṣaḥīḥ wa-al-Ḍa‘īf*, ed. Abū Ghuddah (Aleppo: Maktab al-Maṭbū‘āt al-Islāmiyyah, 1961), 49–52.
- <sup>40</sup> Jonathan A. C. Brown, *Misquoting Muhammad: The Challenge and Choices of Interpreting the Prophet’s Legacy* (Oxford: Oneworld, 2014), 191–194.
- <sup>41</sup> Ibn Ḥajar al-‘Asqalānī, *Nuzhat al-Nazar fī Tawdīḥ Nukhbat al-Fikar*, ed. Nūr al-Dīn ‘Itr (Beirut: Dār al-Bashā’ir al-Islāmiyyah, 1998), 62–65.